Joel Sati

Simpliciter: On On Undocumented Immigrants, Basic Rights Protections, and Psychological Considerations." Below is an abstract (I have attached the paper).

Whether undocumented immigrants, who have entered a nation-state in alleged violation of its border controls, should receive legal status is among U.S. politics' most important debates. Underlying this issue are more basic ones, such as what kinds of rights undocumented immigrants have, and whether they should pursue such rights without fearing that they will be deported or suffer other immigration penalties. This essay argues that, as humans, undocumented immigrants should receive basic rights protections without raising fears of deportation. Central to this paper's argument is the concept of firewalls, advocated by Joseph Carens and elaborated by David Miller. Firewalls are policies allowing undocumented immigrants to claim basic rights protection without fear of deportation. This paper's contribution is the insight that, given plausible assumptions about undocumented immigrants' psychology, firewalls alone will be insufficient to protect undocumented immigrants seeking basic rights. From that conclusion, this paper gives a basic account of undocumented experiences beyond fear of deportation –undocumented status *simpliciter*. Although firewalls' effectiveness largely hinges on their ability to counter undocumented immigrants' fear of deportation, other "fears" exist within undocumented status *simpliciter* that stakeholders in the immigration debate must face, as they have an appreciable effect on firewalls' effectiveness.